ASME/GMC Excellent Medical Education Grant Assessment Criteria

All applications will be reviewed by the panel of reviewers using the criteria outlined below. Each area will be scored on a scale from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree), with a maximum total score of 65 points. Please ensure your submission addresses each criterion clearly, as this will help the panel evaluate your application fairly and consistently.

Assessor	
Number of proposal: (see file name)	
Name of submitter	

Please rate the project in the following areas: (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree)

Please use the following questions to evaluate the submission	Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree
The background and rationale is clear; the problem is clearly outlined and is considered important.	1	2	3	4	5
The project links to the GMC priorities in the 2026-30 strategy	1	2	3	4	5
The enquiry goals (e.g., aims, objectives, research questions) are clear	1	2	3	4	5
The design, methodology and analysis are clearly described and justified.	1	2	3	4	5
There is coherence between the enquiry goals and the approach or methods used	1	2	3	4	5
The ethical requirements have been appropriately described	1	2	3	4	5
The barriers to completing the proposed work have been considered and mitigated where possible	1	2	3	4	5
The schedule for completion of the work seems feasible within timeframe	1	2	3	4	5
The expected outcomes of research and the impact of these have been explained (these may be outcomes for students, health professionals, education and training programmes, potential trajectory for patient benefit).	1	2	3	4	5
A plan of how the project outputs will be disseminated has been developed.	1	2	3	4	5
The research team is considered well qualified/positioned to conduct this research	1	2	3	4	5
The budget is clearly itemised, justified and appropriate to the proposed work	1	2	3	4	5
EDI has been considered in the project (e.g., within the background, research questions, methodology, projected outcomes, research team)	1	2	3	4	5
Total					

Final recommendation: Definitely fund / Fund if possible / Not good enough

Feedback for submitter: